

Design of poly-functionalized catalysts and additives for the Morita-Baylis-Hillman reaction

Lucas G. dos Reis*, Lucas A. Zeoly, Fernando Coelho.

Resumo

In this work we developed a new syntetic approach for the preparation of bi-functional catalysts derived from imidazole, without conformational constraints and having a center acting as Lewis base and other as Bronsted acid. These new catalysts were prepared in three steps, in overall yields ranging from 15% to up 48%, using Morita-Baylis-Hillman adducts as building blocks.

Palavras-chave: Morita-Baylis-Hillman, Organocatalysis, Lewis bases.

Introduction

In the present, organocatalysis is a research area of great relevance, since it employs organic molecules to increase rate of organic processes, avoiding the use of metals.¹ This project had as proposal planning, synthesizing and testing new bi-functional organocatalysts (2) in organic reactions, using Morita-Baylis-Hillman (MBH) adducts² as building blocks. THis work was based on previous results of our laboratory with catalyst 1 (BIA).



Bi-functional analogs, without conformational constraints (2)

Figure 1: BIA Molecule (1) compared with the planned new catalysts (2).

Results and Discussion

We began our work by preparing the MBH adducts. The results achieved were summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Preparation of building blocks

O R	+ $\sim CO_2Et$ $\frac{DABC}{ACOH}$		Ξt
Aldehydes	Ethyl acrylate	II Morita-Baylis-Hillr adducts	man
Entry	Aldehyde, R	Time (h)	%
1	C ₆ H ₅	72	64
2	3,5-F ₂ -C ₆ H ₃	120	73
3	$3,4(CH_2OCH_2)C_6H_3$	168	42
4	2-C ₅ H ₄ N	4	95
5	3-C₅H₄N	120	54
6	C ₂ H ₅	168	35

All adducts were successfully prepared (Table 1, entries 1-6) in good yields and reasonable reaction times. The spectral data (1H- e 13C NMR) are compatible with the structures proposed for each one.

With the adducts in hands, we followed our synthetic planning. Thus, compounds 1-6 were submitted to the Michael addition conditions to provide the corresponding α -substituted ethyl cinnamates. The results are summarized on Table 2.4

The conjugated additions afforded the α -substituted cinnamic esters in good yields. The analysis of spectral data (¹H- and ¹³C-NMR) confirmed the proposed structures for each Michael adduct.

Tabela	2.	Michael	addition	and	ester	reduction	with
DIBAL-H	Η.						

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		CDI, ImidazoleR^ ^{CO2Et} DIE	BAL-H_R	^ ОН		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline \end{tabular} & $$a$-Substituted cinnamic $$a$-Substituted allytic alcohols $$B$-Substituted allytic alcohols $$B$-Substituted allytic alcohols $$B$-Substituted allytic alcohols $$$B$-Substituted allytic alcohols $$$B$-Substituted allytic alcohols $$$Entry $$Cinnamic esters $$Time $$%$(h) $$$A$-Substituted allytic alcohols $$$$$$$$A$-Substituted allytic alcohols $$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$		CH3CN NON CH	12Cl2,			
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Imidazole addition - cinnamic esters \\ \hline Entry & MBH adducts & Time & \% & (h) & & & & \\ \hline 1 & C_6H_5 & 2 & 57 & & \\ \hline 2 & 3,5-F_2-C_6H_3 & 1 & 70 & & \\ \hline 3 & 3,4(CH_2OCH_2)C_6H_3 & 1 & 56 & & \\ \hline 4 & 2-C_5H_4N & 2 & 85 & & \\ \hline 5 & 3-C_5H_4N & * & * & & \\ \hline 6 & C_2H_5 & * & * & & \\ \hline DIBAL-H reduction - allylic alcohols & & & \\ \hline Entry & Cinnamic esters & Time & \% & (h) & & \\ \hline 7 & 3,5-F_2-C_6H_3 & 2 & 57 & \\ \hline 8 & 3,4(CH_2OCH_2)C_6H_3 & 2 & 62 & & \\ \hline \end{array}$	ivionita-Baylis-Hillman adducts	α -Substituted cinnamic esters	α-Substiti alα	uted allylic phols		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c } & \text{MBH adducts} & \text{Time} & \% & (h) & \\ \hline 1 & C_6H_5 & 2 & 57 & \\ \hline 2 & 3,5\text{-}F_2\text{-}C_6H_3 & 1 & 70 & \\ \hline 3 & 3,4(CH_2OCH_2)C_6H_3 & 1 & 56 & \\ \hline 4 & 2\text{-}C_5H_4N & 2 & 85 & \\ \hline 5 & 3\text{-}C_5H_4N & * & * & \\ \hline 6 & C_2H_5 & * & * & \\ \hline DIBAL-H reduction - allylic alcohols & \\ \hline Entry & Cinnamic esters & Time & \% & (h) & \\ \hline 7 & 3,5\text{-}F_2\text{-}C_6H_3 & 2 & 57 & \\ \hline 8 & 3,4(CH_2OCH_2)C_6H_3 & 2 & 62 & \\ \hline \end{array}$	Imio	dazole addition – cinnam	ic esters			
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline (h) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Entry	MBH adducts	Time	%		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			(h)			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1	C_6H_5	2	57		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2	3,5-F ₂ -C ₆ H ₃	1	70		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	3	3,4(CH ₂ OCH ₂)C ₆ H ₃	1	56		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	4	$2-C_5H_4N$	2	85		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	5	3-C ₅ H ₄ N	*	*		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline DIBAL-H reduction - allylic alcohols \\ \hline Entry & Cinnamic esters & Time & \% \\ (h) & & (h) \\ \hline \hline 7 & 3,5-F_2-C_6H_3 & 2 & 57 \\ \hline 8 & 3,4(CH_2OCH_2)C_6H_3 & 2 & 62 \\ \hline \hline 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \hline 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \hline 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \hline 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \hline 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \hline 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \hline 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \hline 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \hline 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \hline 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \hline 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$	6	C ₂ H ₅	*	*		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Entry & Cinnamic esters & Time & \% \\ \hline & & & (h) & & \\ \hline 7 & 3,5-F_2-C_6H_3 & 2 & 57 \\ \hline 8 & 3,4(CH_2OCH_2)C_6H_3 & 2 & 62 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & &$	DIBAL-H reduction – allylic alcohols					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Entry	Cinnamic esters	Time	%		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	_		(h)			
8 3,4(CH ₂ OCH ₂)C ₆ H ₃ 2 62	7	3,5-F ₂ -C ₆ H ₃	2	57		
	8	3,4(CH ₂ OCH ₂)C ₆ H ₃	2	62		
9 $2-C_5H_4N$ 2.5 60	9	$2-C_5H_4N$	2.5	60		

ntries 5 and 6 did not provide the Michael addition products after 4 h

Following our synthetic planning, the dichloromethane solutions of cynammic esters were reduced by treatment with DIBAL-H at -78 ^oC to afford th ecorresponding allylic alcohols in good yields. The results were summarized in Table 2 (second part).

At this stage of work, we were able to prepare the planned catalyst in three steps in overall yields ranginf to 15% to up 48%. The efficiency of these new catalysts will be evaluated in the catalysis of some Morita-Baylis-Hillman reactions and aldolic condensations.

Conclusions

Based on an original synthetic planning we prepared some imidazolic allylic alcohols to be tested as bifunctional organocatalys in organic reactions. The main features of these new catalysts is its absence of conformational constraints and the presence of basic and acid centers.

Acknowledgements

Authors thank the Brazilian National Counsil for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) for the financial suport and fellowships.

^T Dalko, P. I.; Moisen, L. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5138-5175; ² Coelho, F; Almeida, W. Quím. Nova 2000, 23, 98-101; ³ Gomes, J. C.; Rodrigues, Jr., M. T.; Moyano, A.; Coelho, F. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 6861-6866; ⁴ Rodrigues, M.; Santos, M.; Santos, H.; Coelho, F. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2014, 55, 180-183.