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Abstract
This study evaluated restorative systems for marginal and internal adaptation of the restorative material in class I restorations. These restorative systems comprise the restorative material and its adhesive system, five of which are resin-based materials of the type "Bulk fill" which are indicated for single increment application (Tetric EvoCeram Bulk Fill / Ivoclar Vivadent; Opus Bulk Fill / FGM, Fill-Up! / Coltene; Equia Forte Fill / GC Corp. and Activa BioActive Restorative / Pulpdent) and a composite resin of traditional incremental application (Filtek Z350 XT / 3M ESPE) (control group). The results were tabulated and submitted to statistical analysis for comparison between the experimental groups.
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Introduction
The composites of bulk fill type causes less polymerization shrinkage stress and lower volumetric shrinkage when compared to hybrid composite and fluid composites. The aim of this project was to evaluate the adaptation (marginal and internal) in class I cavities of five restorative systems using Bulk Fill composites (Tetric EvoCeram Bulk Fill, Opus Bulk Fill, Fill-Up!, Equia Forte and Activa BioActive Restorative) and one control group using a conventional composite (Z350XT).

Results and Discussion
Thirty teeth were restored using the proper technique and sectioned in half in the mesio-distal way. The surfaces were sanded, polished and the parts were placed in a bath between the different granulations for removal of residues from the polishes. Then evaluated under a digital microscope to observe the entire length of the union area tooth restoration.

The images obtained showed that Filtek Z350XT and Tetric EvoCeram Bulk Fill presented most of gap-free margins, but at the pulpar floor some areas with gap between tooth and composite were observed.

Class I cavities restored with Opus Bulk Fill presented adhesive accumulation at the angles of the cavity and gaps between adhesive and the cavity. Activa BioActive Restorative also showed thick adhesive layer at the angles of the cavity, but no gap-free margins between the tooth and the material.

Conclusions
It was concluded that the marginal adaptation is material-dependent and varies according to the composition and technique of application of the material.
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