

Enzymatic hydrolysis of chicken viscera proteins in the presence of an ionic liquid as a strategy to improve the antioxidant properties of the hydrolysates

### Vítor Geniselli da Silva\*, Ruann Janser Soares de Castro

### Abstract

Aiming to explore the use of ionic liquids (ILs) not yet described in the literature, this work evaluated the hydrolysis of proteins from chicken viscera using the protease Alcalase modified and unmodified by the IL tetramethylammonium bromide. The protein hydrolysates produced in the presence of the IL presented values of antioxidant activities 40% higher than the hydrolysates obtained without IL. In addition, with the presence of the IL, it was possible to obtain protein hydrolysates from chicken viscera with similar antioxidant activities, compared to the protein hydrolysates produced without IL, using 1/3 of the amount of enzyme.

Key words: ionic liquids, enzymatic hydrolysis, antioxidant activity

## Introduction

The use of ionic liquids (ILs) in the modification of enzymes have been highlighted due to their advantages such as high conversion rates, high enantioselectivity, better recovery and possibility of recycling. In addition, ILs act to potentiate the enzymatic action by substantially increasing enzyme activity and stability. On the other hand, enzymatic hydrolysis has industrial application as a possibility of using by-products, transforming them into products of interest and avoiding environmental problems.

Thus, the objective of this study was to evaluate the modification of the commercial protease Alcalase by the addition of an ionic liquid, aiming the production of protein hydrolysates from chicken viscera with antioxidant properties using a central composite rotatable design.

# **Results and Discussion**

The presence of the ionic liquid was responsible for higher enzymatic activities during the hydrolysis, may resulting in a greater efficiency in the hydrolysis of the proteins (Table 1).

In addition, the antioxidant activities (Table 3) were up 40% higher in the presence of the IL for the assays 4 (measured by the DPPH method) and 1, 4, 8, 9 and 12 (measured by the FRAP method).

**Table 1**. Relative protease activity as a function of time during the enzymatic hydrolysis of protein from chicken viscera by Alcalase.

Time (min)	Relative protease activity (%) <sup>1</sup>			
Time (min) —	Alcalase	Alcalase + IL		
0	100.00ª	100.00ª		
5	118.18 <sup>b</sup>	121.21ª		
10	120.32 <sup>b</sup>	163.01ª		
15	145.34 <sup>a</sup> 159.49 <sup>a</sup>			
20	138.48 <sup>b</sup> 184.53 <sup>a</sup>			
30	102.14 <sup>b</sup>	147.95 <sup>a</sup>		
45	99.60 <sup>b</sup>	174.06 <sup>a</sup>		
60	95.52 <sup>b</sup>	188.62ª		
75	102.08 <sup>b</sup>	165.83ª		
90	101.61 <sup>b</sup>	143.06ª		
105	102.64 <sup>b</sup>	166.13ª		
120	96.02 <sup>b</sup>	171.33ª		

<sup>1</sup>The relative protease activity was calculated considering the initial value (time 0) as 100% for each enzymatic treatment (Alcalase modified and unmodified by the IL tetramethylammonium bromide). Results are presented as the mean (n = 3), and those with different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05) on the same line.

Assay	Hydrolysis parameters				
Assay	Enzyme (U/mL)	рН	Temperature (°C)		
1	60	7	40		
2	60	7	60		
3	60	9	40		
4	60	9	60		
5	120	7	40		
6	120	7	60		
7	120	9	40		
8	120	9	60		
9	39.6	8	50		
10	140.4		50		
11	90	6.32	50		
12	90	9.68	50		
13	90	8	33.2		
14	90	8	66.8		
15, 16 e 17	90	8	50		

Table 2. Matrix of the central composite rotatable design used to

obtain the protein hydrolysates from chicken viscera.

Table 3.	Antioxidant	activities	of	the	protein	hydrolysates	from
chicken viscera obtained by enzymatic hydrolysis.							

Assay	DPPH (µmol TE/g)		FRAP (µmol TE/g)		
Assay	Alcalase	Alcalase + IL	Alcalase	Alcalase + IL	
1	119.60ª	115.19ª	570.31 <sup>b</sup>	811.41ª	
2	110.29 <sup>a</sup>	94.25 <sup>b</sup>	421.12 <sup>b</sup>	460.07ª	
3	14.70 <sup>a</sup>	11.45 <sup>b</sup>	848.64 <sup>b</sup>	1126.33ª	
4	19.62 <sup>b</sup>	28.11ª	689.60 <sup>b</sup>	978.78 <sup>a</sup>	
5	159.65 <sup>b</sup>	188.11ª	693.15 <sup>b</sup>	885.32ª	
6	93.26 <sup>b</sup>	101.11ª	396.69 <sup>b</sup>	489.70 <sup>a</sup>	
7	19.23 <sup>a</sup>	14.36 <sup>b</sup>	862.42 <sup>b</sup>	1057.59 <sup>a</sup>	
8	35.19 <sup>b</sup>	43.23ª	620.64 <sup>b</sup>	893.02ª	
9	60.96 <sup>a</sup>	60.74 <sup>a</sup>	576.63 <sup>b</sup>	794.44 <sup>a</sup>	
10	93.61ª	81.27 <sup>b</sup>	718.76 <sup>a</sup>	713.05 <sup>a</sup>	
11	136.74 <sup>b</sup>	156.98ª	615.60 <sup>b</sup>	719.66 <sup>a</sup>	
12	31.57ª	3.23 <sup>b</sup>	693.18 <sup>b</sup>	941.52ª	
13	68.06 <sup>a</sup>	66.82ª	676.07 <sup>b</sup>	763.75 <sup>a</sup>	
14	68.40 <sup>b</sup>	77.48 <sup>a</sup>	525.54 <sup>b</sup>	659.66 <sup>a</sup>	
15	75.22ª	77.14 <sup>a</sup>	630.45 <sup>b</sup>	669.41ª	
16	73.27ª	76.05 <sup>a</sup>	619.50 <sup>b</sup>	673.52ª	
17	70.55 <sup>a</sup>	75.50 <sup>a</sup>	629.41 <sup>b</sup>	672.90 <sup>a</sup>	

Results are presented as the mean (n = 3), and those with different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05) for the same method on the same line.

### Conclusions

The remarkable results obtained in this study were: 1) protein hydrolysates produced in the presence of the IL showed antioxidant activities 40% higher than those obtained without IL and 2) with the IL, it was possible to obtain protein hydrolysates from chicken viscera with similar antioxidant activities, compared to the protein hydrolysates produced without IL, using 1/3 of the amount of enzyme.